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#### Abstract

2,4,6-Triphenylpyrylium with s-alkylamines gives isolatable pyridiniums (which undergo $S_{N} 2$ substitution with nucleophiles and elimination to olefins). 2,4,6-Triphenylpyrylium with 1 -phenylethylamine and $\alpha$ phenylbenzylamine forms the corresponding carbonium ions which may be trapped by nucleophiles. Isolated 1-cycloalkylbenzoquinoliniums (2) solvolyse by the $S_{N} 1$ mechanism (for five-, six-, and sevenmembered rings) : for the cyclobutyl case an $S_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction is also found.


While most of our previously reported ${ }^{2}$ extensive work on the pyrylium-mediated conversion of primary amino-groups into other functionality has involved primary alkyl primary amines, some examples involving secondary alkyl primary amines have been described. ${ }^{3}$ Thus, isopropylamine and sbutylamine were converted into the corresponding iodides (79 and $83 \%$, respectively) via $2,4,6$-triphenylpyridinium iodides, ${ }^{4}$ although 1-cyclohexyl-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium iodide gave predominantly cyclohexene. 1-Isopropyl-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate with sodium $N$-phenylbenzenesulphonamide gave $N$-isopropyl- N -phenylbenzenesulphonamide $(33 \%) .{ }^{5} C$-Substituted nitroalkanes were obtained ${ }^{6}$ from 1-isopropyl-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate with the 2-nitropropane anion ( $52 \%$ ) and from 1-cyclohexyl-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate with nitroethane and 2 -nitropropane anions ( 33 and $48 \%$, respectively). 1-Cyclohexyl-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate formed cyclohexanone $(44 \%)^{7}$ on refluxing with bis(tetra-nbutylammonium)dichromate and 1-(1-hydroxymethyl-1-methylpropyl)-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium trifluoromethanesulphonate produced butyraldehyde via 1,2-hydrogen migration. ${ }^{8}$ Kinetically we have shown that pyridiniums derived from secondary alkyl primary amines react in part by $S_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ mechanisms. ${ }^{9}$

We now report the results of a general investigation of the reaction of secondary alkyl primary amines with pyryliums, with stụdy of further reactions of pyridiniums when isolated, and the products of spontaneous break-down when not isolated.

Preparation of Pyridiniums and Reaction of Isolated Pyridinium Salts.-Pyryliums and amines gave the pyridiniums by standard procedures ${ }^{10}$ (see Experimental section) in good yields (Table 1).

Although attempts to obtain olefins from 1-(n-alkyl)-2,4,6pyridiniums have failed to give good results, ${ }^{11}$ we now find that the corresponding 1 -(s-alkyl) derivatives do give olefins in rather good yields. Thus, 1-oyclopentyl- (1i) and 1-cyclo-hexyl-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate (1j) when heated at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 2,4,6-triphenylpyridine (non-nucleophilic base) gave cyclopentane ( $78 \%$ ) and cyclohexane ( $79 \%$ ), respectively.

Nevertheless, it was still possible to carry out substitution reactions, e.g. with tertiary amines as previously demonstrated ${ }^{12}$ for the 1 -( n -alkyl)analogues. Thus, on heating in pyridine, the $N$-isopropyl (1d) and $N$-s-butyl compounds (1e) gave mainly substituted products ( 3 a and b) in 63 and $56 \%$ isolated yield, respectively. However, for the $N$-cyclopentyl (1i) and $N$-cyclohexyl derivatives ( 1 j ), similar treatment led to greater proportions of elimination (Table 2). ${ }^{3}$

(1)

(2)
a; $Z=0^{+}$
b; $Z=N$
c; $Z=N^{+}$pinan-3-ylmethyl
d: $Z=N^{+} P r^{i}$
e; $Z=N^{+} \mathrm{Bu}^{5}$
$f$ : $Z=N^{+} s-C_{7} H_{15}$
g: $Z=N^{+}$cyclo $-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}$
h; $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{N}^{+}$cyclo $-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7}$
i; $Z=N^{+}$cyclo $-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}$
j: $Z=N^{+}$cyclo $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$
k: $Z=N^{+}$cyclo $-\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{13}$
l: $Z=N^{+}$CHPhMe

(3)
a; $R=P r^{i}$
b; $R=B u^{s}$
c; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{cyclo}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}$
d: $R=$ cyclo $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$
e; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CHMePh}$

(4)

(6)
a; $R^{\prime}=E t O$
b; $R^{\prime}=P r^{i} O$
c: $R^{\prime}=E t \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
d: $R^{\prime}=p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}$
e: $R^{\prime}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$
$f: R^{\prime}=p-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$

Unbranched secondary halides give quite poor yields of olefin in $E 1$ reactions, e.g. varying from $5 \%$ for isopropyl to $15 \%$ for l-ethylpropyl bromide in $60 \%$ aqueous ethanol at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{13}$ The relatively high proportions of olefin formed in the present work ( $\geqslant 22 \%$ ) suggest considerable bimolecular character.

Under second-order conditions, steric hindrance to nucleophilic attack is a factor. For example $\beta$-branching increases $E 2$ with respect to $S_{\mathrm{N}} 2$, as the latter is so greatly slowed. ${ }^{14}$

Table 1. Preparation of $N$-substituted pyridinium salts

| Compd. | Anion | Recrystallisation solvent | M.p. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Yield (\%) | Preparative method | Found (\%) |  |  | Formula | Required (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C | H | N |  | C | H | N |
| (1d) | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Acetone-ether | 187-189 | 88 | B |  | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1e) | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Acetone-ether | 165-167 | 84 | B |  | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SCN | Ethanol | 142-145 | 66 | B | 79.5 | 6.2 | 6.6 | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | 79.2 | 6.2 | 6.6 |
|  | Cl | Acetone-ether | 127-130 | 60 | D |  | $b$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{CIN}$ |  |  |  |
| (1f) | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Ethanol-ether | 160-161 | 67 | B | 73.1 | 6.6 | 2.8 | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{BF}_{4} \mathrm{~N}$ | 73.0 | 6.5 | 2.8 |
| (1g) | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Ethanol | 134-137 | 82 | B | 71.7 | 5.1 | 3.2 | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{BF} \mathrm{F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}$ | 71.7 | 5.1 | 3.2 |
| (1) | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Acetone-ether | 163-164 | 91 | B |  | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1j) | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Acetone-ether | 179-180 | 79 | B |  | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SCN | Ethanol | 151-153 | 47 | B | 80.2 | 6.3 | 6.2 | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | 80.3 | 6.3 | 6.2 |
|  | Cl | Acetone-ether | 127-131 | 60 | D |  | $b$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{ClN}$ |  |  |  |
| (1k) | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Ethanol-ether | 168-170 | 81 | B |  | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1c) | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Ethanol-hexane | 142-145 | 75 | B | 74.7 | 6.7 | 2.5 | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NBF}_{4}$ | 74.9 | 6.7 | 2.6 |
| (2e) | $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ | $c$ | 141-143 | 69 | C |  | $a$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NBF}_{4}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Acetone-ether | 130-132 | 71 | C | 72.9 | 5.9 | 2.9 | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NBF}_{4}$ | 73.0 | 5.9 | 2.9 |
|  | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Acetone-ether | 150-153 | 63 | C | 72.7 | 5.3 | 3.0 | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NBF}_{4}$ | 72.9 | 5.2 | 3.0 |
| (2h) | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Acetone-ether | 165-167 | 60 | C | 73.1 | 5.5 | 2.9 | $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NBF}_{4}$ | 73.3 | 5.5 | 2.9 |
| (2i) | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Acetone-ether | 208-212 | 50 | C | 73.5 | 5.8 | 2.8 | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NBF}_{4}$ | 73.6 | 5.8 | 2.9 |
| (2j) | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Acetone-ether | 136-139 | 61 | C | 73.9 | 6.0 | 2.7 | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NBF}_{4}$ | 74.0 | 6.0 | 2.8 |
| (2k) | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Acetone-ether | 211-214 | 41 | C | 74.1 | 6.2 | 2.7 | $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NBF}_{4}$ | 74.3 | 6.2 | 2.7 |
| (4e) | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Ethanol | 134-135 | 68 | B | 64.4 | 5.9 | 3.1 | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BF}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 64.4 | 5.9 | 3.1 |
| (5b) | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | $c$ | 112-114 | 45 | A | 72.8 | 5.9 | 2.9 | $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BF}_{4} \mathrm{~N}$ | 73.0 | 5.9 | 2.9 |

${ }^{a}$ Previously reported in ref. $9 .{ }^{b}$ Too hygroscopic to get a good analysis. ${ }^{c}$ Analytically pure without recrystallisation.

Table 2. Reactions of 1-(s-alkyl)-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium tetrafluoroborates with pyridine
${ }^{1}$ H N.m.r. ${ }^{a}$ of mixtures of 1-(s alkyl)pyridinium and pyridinium salts

${ }^{a}$ In $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$. ${ }^{b}$ Of both 1-(s-alkyl)pyridinium and pyridinium salts. ${ }^{c}$ Of 1 -(s-alkyl)pyridinium salt. ${ }^{d}$ Calculated from the area corresponding to 5 H for 1 -(s-alkyl)pyridinium salt and the total area of the signal due to the pyridinium protons. ${ }^{e}$ Precipitation with diethyl ether led to a non-crystalline gummy solid which was not weighed.

Table 3. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ N.m.r. chemical shifts (p.p.m.) of reaction products from 1-phenylethylamine and 2,4,6-triphenylpyrylium tetrafluoroborate (1a) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

| Reactants | Products |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2,4,6-Trip | pyridine | PhCHOHMe |  | $\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NCHPhMe}\right)^{+}$ |  |
|  | C- $\alpha$ | C- $\gamma$ | CH | Me | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | CH | Me |
| 1-Phenylethylamine | 157.2 | 149.9 | 70.0 | 24.9 | 113.5 |  |  |
| (Literature values) | $157.3{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $149.9{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $69.9{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $25.0{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $113.5{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $64.8{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $19.6{ }^{4}$ |
| 1-Phenylethylamine + pyridine | 157.0 | 149.8 | - | - | 113.5 | 70.6 | 19.8 |

${ }^{a}$ A. R. Katritzky, J. M. Lloyd, and R. C. Patel, Chem. Scr., 1981, 18, 256. ${ }^{b}$ L. F. Johnson and W. C. Jankowski, 'Carbon-13 N.M.R. Spectra,' Wiley, New York, 1972. ${ }^{c}$ Ref. 17. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Values for 1-(1-phenylethyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2,4-diphenylquinolinium tetrafluoroborate (6b).

Table. 4. Solvent trapping of carbonium ions formed from amines and pyrylium salts

| Solvent | Amine | Pyrylium | Preparation |  | Product $\begin{gathered}\text { Yield } \\ (\%)\end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ ) | $\left(\mathrm{RNH}_{2}\right)$ | salt | Method | Time (h) |  |  |
| Ethanol | $\mathrm{PhCH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (1a) | (la) $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | E | 72 | (6a) | 44 |
| Propan-2-ol | $\mathrm{PhCH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ( | (1a) $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | E | 72 | (6b) | 51 |
| 2-Ethoxyethanol | $\mathrm{PhCH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ( | (1a) $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | E | 72 | (6c) | 47 |
| $p$-Cresol | $\mathrm{PhCH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (1a) | (1a) $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | F | 72 | (6d) | 25 |
| Acetic acid- $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{PhCH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ( | (la) $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$ | G | 48 | (6e) | 89 |
| $N N$-Dimethylaniline | $\mathrm{PhCH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ( | (la) $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$ | E | 72 | (6f) | 38 |
| Ethanol | $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CHNH}_{2}$ | (la) $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | E | 72 | (7a) | 24 |
| Acetic acid--NEt ${ }_{3}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CHNH}_{2}$ | (1a) $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$ | G | 168 | (7e) | 27 |
| Solvent |  | Found |  |  | Req | (\%) |
| ( $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ ) | B.p. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $/ p(\mathrm{mmHg})$ | ) C | H | Formula | C | H |
| Ethanol | 95-98/24 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  |
| Propan-2-ol | 108-112/23 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  |
| 2-Ethoxyethanol | 124-128/24 | 74.3 | 9.35 | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 74.2 | 9.35 |
| $p$-Cresol | $c$ | 85.0 | 7.6 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}$ | 84.9 | 7.6 |
| Acetic acid- $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $47-48 / 0.25^{\text {d }}$ | 73.1 | 7.4 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 73.1 | 7.3 |
| $N N$-Dimethylaniline | $132-135 / 0.55^{\text {c }}$ | 85.6 | 8.2 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}$ | 85.2 | 8.5 |
| Ethanol | 95-98/0.45 ${ }^{f}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  |
| Acetic acid- $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $108-110 / 0.20^{g}$ | 79.6 | 6.2 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 79.6 | 6.25 |

${ }^{a}$ S. Mamedov, D. N. Khydyrov, and Z. Seid-Rzaeva, J. Gen. Chem. USSR, 1963, 33, 1152 ; (6a) b.p. $53-54{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 5 mmHg , ( 6 b ) $56-57{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $5 \mathrm{mmHg} .{ }^{b}$ In $3: 2 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio. ${ }^{c}$ H. Hart and H. S. Eleuterio, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1954, 76, 519; m.p. $49-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{d}$ A. McKillop and M. E. Ford, Tetrahedron, 1974, 30, 2467; b.p. $210-212^{\circ}$ C. ${ }^{e}$ D. A. Archer, H. Booth, and R. D. Stangroom, J. Chem. Soc. C, 1970, 2776; b.p. $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $0.2 \mathrm{mmHg} .{ }^{f} \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{G}$. Rutherford, O. A. Mamer, J. M. Prokipcak, and R. A. Jobin, Can. J. Chem., 1966, 44, 2337; b.p. 160-161 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $19 \mathrm{mmHg} .{ }^{g}$ Footnote $d$, m.p. $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Presumably this explains the present trend of increasing elimination, isopropyl $<$ s-butyl $<$ cyclopentyl $<$ cyclohexyl, although it may also be significant that isopropyl which gives the highest yield of substitution can only give a monosubstituted ethylene whereas all the others can give disubstituted ethylenes. ${ }^{15}$

The formation of substantial amounts of substitution products using pyridine, which is a relatively poor nucleophile, indicates that with better nucleophiles substitution should be easier. This is now confirmed in experiments with sulphur nucleophiles. Pyrolysis of 1-(s-butyl)- (1e) and 1-cyclohexyl-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium thiocyanate ( 1 j ) each gave mixtures of the corresponding s-alkyl thiocyanate and isothiocyanate in ca. 70: 30 ratio, in overall yields of 90 and $40 \%$, respectively. However, mainly elimination occurred on pyrolysis of the 1-salkylpyridinium chlorides: from the 1-cyclohexyl derivative cyclohexene $(90 \%$ ) was isolated.

We have previously reported that s-alkyl groups could be transferred from pyridiniums to nitroalkane anions. ${ }^{6.16}$ We now find that 1 -cycloheptyl-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate ( 1 k ) reacts in a radicaloid reaction ${ }^{16}$ with nitromethane anion to form nitromethylcycloheptane ( $41 \%$ ).

Solvolysis of 1-(s-butyl)-5,6-dihydro-2,4-diphenylbenzo[h]quinolinium tetrafluoroborate (2e) in $p$-cresol at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave a mixture ( $1: 2$ ) of $p$-tolyl s-butyl ether and 2 -s-butyl-pcresol ( $50 \%$ ).

Reaction involving Capture of Carbonium lons.-The reactions of 1-phenylethylamine with various $2,4,6$-triphenylpyrylium salts were studied by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. (Table 3). In the absence of added nucleophile the main product was the alcohol $\mathrm{PhCH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{OH}$, presumably formed by reaction of the carbonium ion with the water released in the reaction. A trace of styrene was also detected in the reaction mixture. In the presence of pyridine, the main product was the pyridinium salt (3e); again a trace of styrene was found. In the presence of other nucleophiles such as halides or $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$, complex spectra indicated the formation of a variety of products.

The experiments just described provided strong evidence for the spontaneous formation of carbonium ions in the reaction of $\alpha$-phenylethylamine and triphenylpyrylium. It was possible to trap this carbonium ion and the carbonium ion from $\alpha$-phenylbenzylamine preparatively under suitable conditions (Table 4). Thus the use of primary or secondary alcohols as reaction solvent at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led to the isolation of ethers ( $6 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ ) and (7a) in moderate yield. $p$-Cresol gave the $O$-alkylated product ( 6 d ) $(25 \%$ ), and any $C$-alkylated products were presumably extracted from the reaction mixture with 5 m NaOH solution. $N N$-Dimethylaniline gave a $38 \%$ yield of 1 -(4-dimethylaminophenyl)-1-phenylethane (6f). Use of a $1: 5: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio of acetic acid-triethylamine as solvent resulted in the formation of 1-phenylethyl acetate (6e) and $\alpha$ phenylbenzyl acetate, respectively.

All the above compounds, gave satisfactory physical and spectral data (see Tables 4 and 5).

Kinetic Studies.-Kinetic data (Table 7), obtained utilizing the u.v. method (cf. Table 6) as previously reported, ${ }^{17}$ showed that the 1-s-alkyldihydrobenzoquinoliniums (2) reacted with piperidine in chlorobenzene solution by the $S_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ and/or $S_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ mechanism with the rate constants given in Table 8. Within experimental uncertainties, the cyclo-pentyl, -hexyl, and -heptyl compounds all react exclusively by the $S_{N} 1$ mechanism; for the cyclobutyl analogue, the much slower $S_{N} 1$ rate enables the $S_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ rate for this compound also to be measured.

Table 9 compares the $S_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ rate for the compounds investigated with literature data. The benzoquinolinium (2b) is a better $S_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{l}$ leaving group for these secondary alkyl substituents by a factor of over 100 than triphenylpyridine (1b). Comparison of the products results with those for the solvolysis in acetic acid of secondary tosylates, shows for the isopropyl, cyclopentyl, and cyclohexyl substrates a rough correspondence in rates (at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with those for the triphenylpyridinium (at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): however, the small difference in rate between the cyclopentyl and cyclobutyl tosylates ${ }^{18.19}$ contrasts with the much slower relative rate for cyclobutyl among the

Table 5. I.r. and 'H n.m.r. data for solvolysis products (6) and (7)

| Product | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. ( $\delta$ ) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | $\left(v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)^{h}$ <br> Principal bands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aromatic | Methine | Methyl | Other signals |  |
| (6a) | 7.27 | $4.23{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $1.37{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $1.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 3.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q})$ | 1275, 1070 |
| (6b) | 7.28 | $4.50{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $1.38{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.07 and 1.13 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$ ), $3.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ | 1276,1056 |
| (6c) | 7.19 | $4.33{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $1.32{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.05 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 3.37 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) | 1 277, 1050 |
| (6d) | 7.15-7.40 | $5.26{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.59 d | $2.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.76$ and $7.00(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq})$ | 1290, 1075 |
| (6e) | 7.32 | $5.87{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $1.47{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.97 (3 H, s) | $1740^{\text {c }}$ |
| (6f) | 7.18 | $4.08{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $1.56{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $2.81(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.62$ and $7.07(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq})$ | $816^{5}$ |
| (7a) | 7.25-7.45 | 5.37 |  | $1.25(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 3.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q})$ | 1275, 1072 |
| (7c) | 7.19-7.52 | 6.93 |  | 2.10 (3 H, s) | 1275, $1740^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. | id film. ${ }^{\text {c }} \mathrm{q}$ | Hz. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ d, | ${ }^{e} \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{C}=$ | roximate value, masked by methylene sig |  |

Table 6. U.v. spectral data (EtOH solution) for I-substituted 5,6-dihydro-2,4-diphenylbenzo[ $h$ ]quinoliniums ${ }^{a}$

Compd.
(2k)
$341 \quad 19200$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { (2j) } & 347^{\circ} & 18500^{\circ} \\ \text { (2i) } & 352 & 17000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}(2 \mathrm{~h}) & 326 & 18900 \\ (2 \mathrm{~g}) & 353 & 19200\end{array}$

| Kinetic values |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| nm | $\varepsilon$ |
| 360 | 13800 |
| 360 | $11500{ }^{\circ}$ |
| 360 | 14500 |
| 360 | 18800 |
| 360 | 18100 |

${ }^{a}$ At the kinetic wavelength ( 360 nm ), 5,6-dihydro-2,4-diphenylbenzo[ $h$ ]quinoline has zero absorption (in chlorobenzene solution).
${ }^{5}$ In chlorobenzene solution.

Table 7. Pseudo-first-order rate constants ( $k_{\mathrm{obs}}$ ) for the reactions of 1 -substituted 5,6 -dihydro-2,4-diphenylbenzo $[h]$ quinoliniums with piperidine in chlorobenzene

| 1-cyclohexyl (2j) ${ }^{\text {a }}\left(100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) |  | 1-cyclopentyl (2i) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{3} \text { [Piperidine] } /$ $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ | $10^{5} k_{\text {obs }} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{l}^{-1}}{\left.10^{3} \text { Piperidine }\right]}$ | $10^{5} k_{\text {obs }} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| 0.960 | 490.5 | 0.960 | 1186 |
| 1.92 | 511.3 | 9.60 | 1085 |
| 4.80 | 524.5 | 96.0 | 1002 |
| 9.60 | 521.4 | 240 | 1056 |
| 96.0 | 456.5 | 480 | 981 |
| 240 | 491.2 |  |  |
| 480 | 460.1 |  |  |
| 1-cycloheptyl | $(2 \mathrm{k})^{a}\left(100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 1-cyclobutyl | h) ${ }^{\text {b }}\left(100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| $\underset{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{l}^{-1}}{\left.10^{3} \text { [Piperidine }\right]}$ | $10^{5} k_{\text {obs }} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | $10^{3}$ [Piperidine $] / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ | $10^{5} \mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| 0.960 | 1132 | 96.0 | 3.97 |
| 9.60 | 1079 | 240 | 4.62 |
| 96.0 | 1026 | 480 | 6.11 |
| 240 | 1074 | 960 | 9.03 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Concentration $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$. | $9.60 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1{ }^{1} .{ }^{6}$ Concentr | tion 0.00300 |

benzoquinoliniums (2). This behaviour is indicative of the $S_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ character of the tosylate solvolysis. ${ }^{20}$

Comparison of the present results with those for the solvolysis of the corresponding tertiary chlorides in methanol (at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) again discloses rates of the same order of magnitude as the triphenylpyridiniums (at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Again, the comparatively high relative rate for the cyclobutyl derivative indicates considerable solvent assistance in these reactions, as previously pointed out. ${ }^{20}$

In all the series, the rates are similar for the cyclopentyl and cycloheptyl derivatives. The rates for the cyclohexyl analogues are lower, by small factors (2-8) for the heterocyclic leaving
groups, longer for the tosylates (16) and still more for the chlorides (100). The cyclopentyl and cycloheptyl derivatives relieve $I$ strain (mainly eclipsing strain) when the cation is formed, ${ }^{21}$ being this effect larger for the tertiary (i.e. chlorides in Table 9) than for the secondary compounds. This effect is absent in the corresponding cyclohexyl derivative.

## Experimental

M.p.s were determined with a Reichert apparatus and are uncorrected. I.r. spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer model 137 or 238B grating spectrophotometer, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectra with a Varian model A-60A, a Varian model EM 360L or a JEOL model JNM-PMX60 60 MHz spectrometer ( $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ as internal standard). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ N.m.r. spectra were recorded with a JEOL model JNM-FX 100 spectrometer operating in the Fourier transform mode at 25.05 MHz and locked to the deuterium resonance of the solvent $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$. Typical spectral conditions were: 6 kHz width, 8 K data giving a digital resolution of 0.05 p.p.m.; pulse width $5 \mu \mathrm{~s}\left(30^{\circ}\right)$; repetition time of 1 s . Chemical shifts in p.p.m. relative to $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ were calculated by adding 76.9 p.p.m. to the shift measured relative to the centre peak of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} .{ }^{22}$

Preparation of Pyryliums.-5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-2,4-diphenylchromenylium (5a) tetrafluoroborate was obtained from Urögdi ${ }^{23}$ and 5,6-dihydro-2,4-diphenylbenzo[ $h$ ]chromenylium (2a) perchlorate from Thind. ${ }^{24}$ The following were prepared by literature methods: 2,4,6-triphenylpyrylium (1a) tetrafluoroborate, m.p. $251-253^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{25} 253-255^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); 2,4,6triphenylpyrylium (1a) chloride, m.p. 217-221 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{26}$ $220-225^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); 2,4,6-triphenylpyrylium (1a) thiocyanate, m.p. 190-191 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{27} 192{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); and 2-ethoxycarbonyl-4,6-diphenylpyrylium tetrafluoroborate, m.p. $153-156{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{28}$ $155-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

Preparation of Pyridinium Salts ${ }^{10}$ (Table 1).-Method A. 5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-2,4-diphenylchromenylium tetrafluoroborate ( 5 a ) $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0013 \mathrm{~mol})$ and 1-phenylethylamine ( 0.32 $\mathrm{g}, 0.0026 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were stirred in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3.5 \mathrm{ml})$. Addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{ml})$ and washing with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogencarbonate gave the product.

Method B. 2,4,6-Triphenylpyrylium tetrafluoroborate (1a) $(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0025 \mathrm{~mol})$ and isopropylamine $(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.005 \mathrm{~mol})$ were stirred in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{ml})$ for 5 min . $\mathrm{AcOH}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.005$ mol ) was added and the mixture stirred for 1 h . Addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{ml})$ gave the product.

Method C. 5,6-Dihydro-2,4-diphenylbenzo[ $h$ ]chromenylium perchlorate ( 2 a ) ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0023 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), s-butylamine ( 0.17 g , $0.0023 \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0023 \mathrm{~mol})$ were stirred in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{ml})$ for 0.5 h . AcOH ( $0.28 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0046 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was

Table 8. First- $\left(k_{1}\right)$ and second- $\left(k_{2}\right)$ order rate constants for the reactions of $N$-substituted 5,6-dihydro-2,4-diphenylbenzo[ $\left.h\right]$ ]quinoliniums with piperidine in chlorobenzene at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Compd. | Slope |  | Intercept |  | $10^{3} k_{1}{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $10^{3} k_{2} / \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1 a}$ | \% Error | $10^{5} k_{1} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | \% Error | $\overline{\left[k_{2}+10 k_{1}\right]}$ |
| (2k) | $<5(-1.6 \pm 7.3)$ |  | $1090 \pm 100$ | 8 | >95 |
| (2j) | $<0.1(-1.0 \pm 1.0)$ |  | $505 \pm 21$ | 4 | >99.9 |
| (2i) | $<1(-2.8 \pm 3.5)$ |  | $1110 \pm 90$ | 8 | $>99$ |
| (2h) | $0.0593 \pm 0.0049$ | 8 | $3.29 \pm 0.27$ | 8 | 85 |

${ }^{a}$ Values in parentheses not significantly different from zero. ${ }^{b}$ i.e. $\%$ reaction by $S_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ route at [piperidine] $10^{-1}$ mol 1-1.

Table 9. Comparison of $S_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ rate constants for reactions of $N$-cycloalkylpyridiniums with those for cycloalkyl chlorides and tosylates

|  | Absolute rates ( $\mathrm{s}^{-1} \times 10^{5}$ ) |  |  |  | Relative rates ( $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CRX}=1\right)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}= \\ & \mathrm{X}= \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Me} \\ \mathrm{Cl} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} \\ \mathrm{OTs} \end{gathered}$ | H <br> Triphenylpyridine | H <br> Benzoquinoline | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Me} \\ \mathrm{Cl} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{OTs}}{\mathrm{H}}$ | H <br> Triphenylpyridine | H <br> Benzoquinoline |
| Solvent | 80\% EtOH | AcOH | PhCl | PhCl | 80\% EtOH | AcOH | PhCl | PhCl |
| T ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 25 | 60 | 100 | 100 | 25 | 60 | 100 | 100 |
| Reference | 25 | 26 | 8 | $a$ | 25 | 26, 27 | 8 | $a$ |
| $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CRX}$ | 0.91 | 0.98 | 0.72 | 112 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CRX}$ | 0.9 | 13.8 |  | 3.29 | 1 | 14 |  | 0.03 |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CRX}$ | 38.3 | 15.8 | 25.4 | 1110 | 44 | 16 | 35 | 10 |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5} \mathrm{CRX}$ | 0.31 | 0.98 | 3.1 | 505 | 0.35 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{CRX}$ | 41.7 | 30.5 |  | 1090 | 38 | 31 |  | 10 |

${ }^{a}$ This paper.
added and the mixture stirred for 4 h . Addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 50 ml ) and washing with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogencarbonate gave the product.

Method D. 2,4,6-Triphenylpyrylium chloride (1a) ( 2.00 g , 0.0058 mol ) in super-dry EtOH was mixed with s-butylamine $(1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0145 \mathrm{~mol})$ in sodium-dried benzene. This mixture was warmed for 5 min and $\mathrm{AcOH}(0.87 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0145 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added. The mixture was refluxed for 8 h , using molecular sieves ( $4 \AA, 20 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in a Soxhlet extractor to remove the water. After cooling, the solvent was evaporated, and the residue in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{ml})$ extracted with water; the dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ organic layer was evaporated. Trituration of the residue with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave the product.

Cyclopentene.-1-Cyclopentyl-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate ( 1 j ) $(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0043 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $2,4,6$-triphenylpyridine ( 1 b ) $(2.65 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0086 \mathrm{~mol})$ were heated at $178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 200 mmHg to give cyclopentene $(0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ) (collected in a trap at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with i.r. and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectra identical with the literature; ${ }^{29} v_{\text {max. }}$ (liquid film) $3060,2935,2855,1616$, $1468,1352,1337,1209,1047,1029,907$, and $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 5.8(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.1-2.6(4 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.5-2.1(2 \mathrm{H})$.

Cyclohexene.-1-Cyclohexyl-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate ( 1 j ) $(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0042 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $2,4,6$-triphenylpyridine (1b) ( $2.57 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0084 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) at $185{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 200 mmHg similarly gave cyclohexene ( $0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ ); i.r. and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectra identical with the literature; ${ }^{17} \mathrm{~V}_{\max .}$ (liquid film) 3080 , $2990,2925,1659,1449,1322,1139,919,720$, and $642 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 5.7(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.8-2.2(4 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.5-1.8(4 \mathrm{H})$.

Reactions of 1-(s-Alkyl)-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium Tetrafluoroborates with Pyridine.-1-(s-Alkyl)-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium tetrafluoroborates ( 1.00 g ) and pyridine ( 3 ml ) were refluxed for 3 h . Diethyl ether ( 15 ml ) gave a precipitate shown by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. to contain 1 -(s-alkyl)pyridinium tetrafluoroborate and pyridinium tetrafluoroborate (Table 2).

1-Isopropylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate. 1-Isopropyl-2,4,6triphenylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate (1d) $(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0046$ mol ) and pyridine ( 2 ml ) were refluxed for 3 h . Diethyl ether gave a precipitate $(0.764 \mathrm{~g})$. After washing with 1 m -sodium methoxide in methanol ( 1.2 ml ), the pyridinium tetrafluoroborate (3a) ( $0.604 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%$ ) crystallised on trituration with diethyl ether; it recrystallised from ethanol as plates, m.p. $83-84{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 46.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.8 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.7 . \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BF}_{4} \mathrm{~N}$ requires C, 45.9; H, 5.7; N, 6.7\%); $v_{\text {max. }}\left(\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}\right) 3050,1630,1581$, 1499,1425 , and $1020-1090 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \delta\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] 7.9-$ $9.4(5 \mathrm{H}), 5.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, J c a .7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and $1.6(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J c a .7 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
$1-s$-Butylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate. 1-s-Butyl-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate (1e) $(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0044 \mathrm{~mol})$ and pyridine ( 2 ml ) were heated under reflux, for 3 h . Addition of diethyl ether gave the pyridinium tetrafluoroborate (3b) which on trituration with diethyl ether crystallised but which resisted recrystallisation. The yield estimated by n.m.r. was $0.56 \mathrm{~g}(56 \%)$, $v_{\text {max. }}$ (liquid film) 3080 (w), 2980 (w), 1631 (m), $1484(\mathrm{~s}), 1458(\mathrm{~m}), 1065(\mathrm{~s}), 778(\mathrm{~m})$, and $682(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, $\delta\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] 8.1-9.7(5 \mathrm{H}), 5.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.4-2.3(2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J c a .6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and $0.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J c a .7 .5 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

Pyrolysis of 1-(s-Butyl)-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium (1e) Thio-cyanate.-The pyrolysis of (1e) thiocyanate ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0024 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) at $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 mmHg using triphenylpyridine $(1 \mathrm{~g})$ as a flux gave a mixture ( $70: 30$ ) $(0.284 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) of s-butyl thiocyanate, $\delta$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J$ ca. 6 Hz$), 2.0-1.5(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.5(3 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J c a .6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and isothiocyanate, $\delta$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J \mathrm{ca} .6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.0-1.5(2 \mathrm{H}, 1.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J 6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and $1.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J \mathrm{ca} .6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; v_{\text {max. }}\left(\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}\right)$ (mixture) 2150 (s), $2150-2000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The i.r. and ${ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectra of the mixture showed all the bands expected for s-butyl thiocyanate (compared with an authentic sample). The remaining bands in the two spectra can be assigned to the isomeric isothiocyanate. The spectra showed no other bands.

Pyrolysis of 1-Cyclohexyl-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium (1j) Thio-cyanate.-Under above conditions (1j) thiocyanate (1 g,
$0.0023 \mathrm{~mol})$ gave a mixture ( $70: 30$ ) $(0.127 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%)$ of cyclohexyl thiocyanate, $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.25(1 \mathrm{H})$ and $2.3-1.2(10 \mathrm{H})$, and cyclohexyl isothiocyanate, $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.65(1 \mathrm{H})$ and $2.3-$ $1.2(10 \mathrm{H}), v_{\text {max. }}\left(\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}\right)$ (mixture) $2150(\mathrm{~m})$ and 2060 br $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The i.r. and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectra of the mixture showed all the bands expected for cyclohexyl isothiocyanate (comparison spectrum obtained from an authentic sample) and cyclohexyl thiocyanate (comparison with published ${ }^{29}$ spectrum) and no other bands.

Pyrolysis of 1-Cyclohexyl-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium (1j) Chloride.-Pyrolysis of ( 1 j ) chloride ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0023 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) at 150 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 20 mmHg gave cyclohexene ( $0.175 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) which was identified by comparison of the i.r. and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectra with those of an authentic sample.

Nitromethylcycloheptane.-Ethanolic sodium ethoxide (from $0.295 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0121 \mathrm{~mol}$ sodium and 20 ml absolute ethanol), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(0.689 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0121 \mathrm{~mol})$, and 1-cycloheptyl-2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate ( 1 k ) ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0121 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were heated to reflux for 24 h . After cooling the mixture was filtered and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{ml})$ added. The whole was extracted with water $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml})$. The dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ organic layer was evaporated and the residue chromatographed on silica gel eluted with hexane to give nitromethylcycloheptane $(0.77 \mathrm{~g}$, $41 \%$ ), b.p. ca. $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 25 mmHg (Found: C, 61.2 ; $\mathrm{H}, 9.6$; $\mathrm{N}, 8.9 . \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 61.1 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.6 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.9 \%$ ), $v_{\text {max. }}$ $\left(\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}\right) 2925,2860,1545,1460,1445,1430,1375$, and $1295 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 4.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ ca. 7 Hz$), 2.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, and 2.15-1.15 (12 H, m).

Solvolysis of 1-(s-Butyl)-5,6-dihydro-2,4-diphenylbenzo[h]quinolinium (2e) Tetrafluoroborate.-Tetrafluoroborate (2e) $(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0210 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $p$-cresol $(56.70 \mathrm{~g}, 0.54 \mathrm{~mol})$ were heated to $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After cooling the mixture was distributed between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.1 \mathrm{M}, 250$ ml ). The organic layer residue was chromatographed in silica gel to give (a) p-tolyl s-butyl ether ( $0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 17 \%$ ) (eluted with hexane), b.p. $90-93^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 20 mmHg (lit. ${ }^{30} 58-59{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 4 $\mathrm{mmHg}) ; \delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ ca. 8 Hz$), 6.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J$ ca. 8 Hz$), 4.2(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.90-1.30(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J c a .6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and $0.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J c a .6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

2-s-Butyl-4-methylphenol ( $1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 33 \%$ ) (eluted with hexanebenzene), b.p. $118-122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 20 mmHg (lit., ${ }^{30} 93-94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $5 \mathrm{mmHg}) ; \delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.0-6.4(3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.8-1.3$ $(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ ca. 6.5 Hz$)$, and $0.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J c a .6 .5 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

Solvent Trapping of Carbonium Ions (see Tables 4 and 5).Method E. To a stirred suspension of the pyrylium salt (1a) $(0.005 \mathrm{~mol})$ in solvent ( 25 ml ) was added the s-alkyl primary amine ( 0.0075 mol ) and triethylamine ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0059 \mathrm{~mol}$ ). After $72-84 \mathrm{~h}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue extracted with ether $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{ml})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and HCl gas passed through until precipitation of amine hydrochlorides was complete. Filtration, evaporation in vacuo, distillation, and/or column chromatography (silica; 5\% EtOAc-hexane) gave the products as oils (see Tables 4 and 5).

Method F. The pyrylium salt (1a) ( 0.005 mol ) and s-alkyl primary amine ( 0.0075 mol ) were stirred in triethylamine $(8.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.081 \mathrm{~mol})$ and freshly distilled $p$-cresol $(8.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.075$ mol ) for $72-84 \mathrm{~h}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, before diluting with ether ( 75 ml ). The ether solution was then washed with 5 N aqueous NaOH ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{ml}$ ), water $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{ml})$, and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ before passing in dry HCl . Filtration, evaporation in vacuo, and column chromatography (silica, 5\% EtOAc-hexane) and/or distillation giving the products.

Method $G$. To a stirred suspension of the pyrylium salt (1a) $(0.005 \mathrm{~mol})$ in acetic acid $(9.00 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{~mol})$ and triethylamine
$(10.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{~mol})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added the amine $(0.0075 \mathrm{~mol})$. After $16-168 \mathrm{~h}$ water ( 50 ml ) was added followed by ether extraction ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{ml}$ ), and washing of the extracts with water ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ) before drying $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and passing in dry HCl . Filtration, evaporation in vacuo, and distillation and/or column chromatography (silica; 5\% EtOAc-hexane) gave the products as oils.

Kinetics Measurements.-U.v. spectra of reactants and products were run on a Pye-Unicam SP8 200 spectrophotometer. For the rate measurements at fixed wavelength, u.v. spectrophotometer of type SP6-550 was used. Stoppered glass tubes ( 28 cm high and 13.5 cm in diameter) were used as reaction vessels which were placed into the hot-blocks (Statim Model Prop.) for convenient temperature runs.

Kinetics were followed by u.v. spectrophotometry monitoring the decrease of absorbance of the pyridinium cation at fixed wavelength using the procedure already described. ${ }^{23}$ In typical runs under pseudo-first-order conditions the concentration of pyridinium was $9.6 \times 10^{-5}$ or $3.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}$ $1^{-1}$, while those of the nucleophile varied from $9.6 \times 10^{-4}$ to $0.96 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$. Pseudo-first-order rate constants were calculated from the slope of conventional plots of $\ln (a / a-x)=$ $\ln \left[\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{c}}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{b}}\right) /\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{b}}\right)\right]$ (at the kinetic wavelength) versus time. Such plots were linear to at least $80 \%$ completion. The kinetic $\lambda$ and the extinction coefficients at that $\lambda$ for all compounds studied are reported in Table 6. The analysis of kinetic data was done following ref. 31.
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